

Solution HW 1: Mathematical Preliminaries

1. Answer:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) &= \mathbf{b} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{a} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{b}) \\
 \text{LHS} &= \partial_i (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})_i \\
 &= \partial_i (\epsilon_{ijk} a_j b_k) \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_i (a_j b_k) \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} (\partial_i a_j) b_k + \epsilon_{ijk} a_j (\partial_i b_k) \\
 &= (\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_i a_j) b_k + a_j (\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_i b_k) \\
 &= (\epsilon_{kij} \partial_i a_j) b_k + a_j (\epsilon_{jki} \partial_i b_k) \\
 &= (\epsilon_{kij} \partial_i a_j) b_k - a_j (\epsilon_{jki} \partial_k b_i) \\
 &= \mathbf{b} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{a} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{b}) = \text{RHS}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) We are given that \mathbf{A} is irrotational, thus curl of \mathbf{A} is zero i.e., $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$. Let's prove that $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r}$ is also irrotational.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r})_i &= \epsilon_{ijk} A_j r_k = 0 \\
 (\nabla \times (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r}))_i &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j (A \times r)_k \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j (\epsilon_{klm} A_l r_m) \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{klm} \partial_j (A_l r_m) \\
 &= \epsilon_{kij} \epsilon_{klm} \partial_j (A_l r_m),
 \end{aligned}$$

Use the identity $\epsilon_{kij} \epsilon_{klm} = \delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}$;

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow (\nabla \times (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r}))_i &= (\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}) (\partial_j (A_l r_m)) \\
 &= \delta_{il} \delta_{jm} (\partial_j (A_l r_m)) - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl} (\partial_j (A_l r_m)) \\
 &= \partial_j (A_i r_j) - \partial_j (A_j r_i) \\
 &= \partial_j (A_i r_j - A_j r_i) \\
 &= A_i \delta_{ij} - A_j \delta_{ij} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore (\nabla \times (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r})) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{r}) \text{ is irrotational.}$$

- (c) We are given that \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are constant. We want to prove that $\nabla(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LHS} &= \nabla(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}) \\
 &= \partial_i (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}) \hat{e}_i \\
 &= \partial_i (A_j \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r})_j) \hat{e}_i \\
 &= \partial_i (A_j \epsilon_{jkl} B_k r_l) \hat{e}_i \\
 &= \epsilon_{jkl} A_j B_k (\partial_i r_l) \hat{e}_i \\
 &= \epsilon_{jkl} A_j B_k \delta_{il} \hat{e}_i \\
 &= \epsilon_{jki} A_j B_k \hat{e}_i \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} A_j B_k \hat{e}_i \\
 &= \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = \text{RHS}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (d) We want to prove that $\nabla \times (\phi \nabla \phi) = \mathbf{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla \times f \mathbf{V})_i &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j (f V_k) \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j f V_k + \epsilon_{ijk} f \partial_j V_k \\
 &= \nabla f \times \mathbf{V} + f \nabla \times \mathbf{V} \\
 \therefore \nabla \times (\phi \nabla \phi) &= \nabla \phi \times \nabla \phi + \phi \nabla \times \nabla \phi \\
 &= \phi \nabla \times \nabla \phi \\
 (\nabla \times \nabla \phi)_i &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j (\nabla \phi)_k = \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j \partial_k \phi = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (e) We want to prove that $(\nabla u \times \nabla v)$ is solenoidal. In order to prove the above function is solenoidal we should prove that the divergence of the given function is zero at all points, i.e., $\nabla \cdot (\nabla u \times \nabla v) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla \cdot (\nabla u \times \nabla v) &= \partial_i (\nabla u \times \nabla v)_i \\
 &= \partial_i (\epsilon_{ijk} (\nabla u)_j (\nabla v)_k) \\
 &= \partial_i (\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j u \partial_k v) \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_i (\partial_j u \partial_k v) \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} (\partial_i \partial_j u) (\partial_k v) + \epsilon_{ijk} (\partial_j u) (\partial_i \partial_k v) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Answer:

(a) From Gauss's theorem, we showed in class that

$$\int \nabla f dV = \oint f d\mathbf{S}$$

put $f = 1$, the result follows.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial V} \mathbf{r} \cdot d\mathbf{S} &= \int_V (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{r}) dV = 3V \\ \text{since } \nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} &= \partial_i r_i = 3. \\ \text{Hence } \frac{1}{3} \oint_{\partial V} \mathbf{r} \cdot d\mathbf{S} &= V. \end{aligned}$$

3. Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \oint \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} &= \int_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} dV \\ &= \int_V \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) dV = 0 \quad \text{Since } \text{div}(\text{curl})=0. \end{aligned}$$

4. We are given a vector $\mathbf{t} = -y\hat{e}_x + x\hat{e}_y$.

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{t} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell} &= \int_S (\nabla \times \mathbf{t}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{t} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{e}_x & \hat{e}_y & \hat{e}_z \\ \partial_x & \partial_y & \partial_z \\ -y & x & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \hat{e}_x(0) - \hat{e}_y(0) + \hat{e}_z(1+1) = 2\hat{e}_z. \\ d\mathbf{S} &= dx dy \hat{e}_z \\ \oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{t} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell} &= 2\hat{e}_z \cdot \hat{e}_z \iint dx dy = 2A \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result.

5. We want to evaluate $\oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{r} \times d\mathbf{r}$. Starting with Stoke's theorem

$$\int_S (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell}.$$

Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C}$, where \mathbf{C} is a constant vector.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LHS} &= \int \nabla \times (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} \\ &= \int (\mathbf{C} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{C} \cdot \int (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} \\ \text{RHS} &= \oint \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \int d\boldsymbol{\ell} \times \mathbf{A}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where} \quad \mathbf{C} \cdot \int d\boldsymbol{\ell} \times \mathbf{A} = \int (\mathbf{C} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{C} \cdot \int (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Simplify the above expression;

$$\begin{aligned}\int d\mathbf{S} \cdot (\mathbf{C} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A} &= \int d\mathbf{S} \cdot \left(C_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + C_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + C_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) (A_x \hat{e}_x + A_y \hat{e}_y + A_z \hat{e}_z) \\ &= \int d\mathbf{S} \cdot \left(C_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{A} + C_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mathbf{A} + C_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbf{A} \right) \\ &= \int dS_x \left(C_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_x + C_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_x + C_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_x \right) \\ &\quad + \int dS_y \left(C_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_y + C_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_y + C_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_y \right) \\ &\quad + \int dS_z \left(C_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_z + C_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_z + C_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_z \right) \\ &= C_x \left(\int dS_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_x + \int dS_y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_y + \int dS_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_z \right) \\ &\quad + C_y \left(\int dS_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_x + \int dS_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_y + \int dS_z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_z \right) \\ &\quad + C_z \left(\int dS_x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_x + \int dS_y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_y + \int dS_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_z \right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla A_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_x \hat{e}_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_x \hat{e}_y + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_x \hat{e}_z$$

$$\nabla A_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_y \hat{e}_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_y \hat{e}_y + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_y \hat{e}_z$$

$$\nabla A_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_z \hat{e}_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_z \hat{e}_y + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_z \hat{e}_z$$

$$\mathbf{C} \cdot \int dS_k \nabla A_k = C_k \int dS_k \frac{\partial}{\partial k} A_k$$

$$\therefore \oint d\boldsymbol{\ell} \times \mathbf{A} = \int dS_k \nabla A_k - \int (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) d\mathbf{S}$$

$$\text{Let} \quad \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{r}, \quad d\boldsymbol{\ell} = d\mathbf{r}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} = 3$$

$$\nabla A_k = \nabla r_k = \partial_i r_k \hat{e}_i = \delta_{ik} \hat{e}_i = \hat{e}_k$$

$d\mathbf{S} = a\hat{e}_k$, where a is area bounded by ∂S .

$$\begin{aligned}\int dS_k \nabla A_k &= \int dS_k \hat{e}_k = a\hat{e}_k \\ \therefore \oint d\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} &= -2a\hat{e}_k \\ \Rightarrow \oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{r} \times d\mathbf{r} &= 2a\hat{e}_k = 2 \int_S d\mathbf{S}.\end{aligned}$$

6.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{LHS} &= \oint u \nabla v \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell} \\ &= \int \nabla \times u \nabla v \cdot d\mathbf{S} \quad \text{from Stoke's law}\end{aligned}$$

Now curl of a product $(\nabla \times u \nabla v)$ is,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times u \nabla v &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j (u \partial_k v) \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} (\partial_j u) (\partial_k v) + \epsilon_{ijk} u \partial_j \partial_k v \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} (\partial_j u) (\partial_k v) + 0 \\ &= \nabla u \times \nabla v\end{aligned}$$

which can be inserted to the LHS, yielding the desired result.