

## Solution Assignment 5: Quantum Field Theory

1. The second-quantization version of a single particle operator is:

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \alpha | \hat{A} | \beta \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta.$$

If  $|\alpha\rangle$  and  $|\beta\rangle$  are momentum eigenstates, show that this operator in the position space is given by

$$\hat{A} = \int d^3x A(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}).$$

I am looking for a neat and systematic solution.

### Answer 1

We start off by calculating,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha | \hat{A} | \beta \rangle &= \int \int d^3x d^3y \langle \alpha | \mathbf{x} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{A} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \langle \mathbf{y} | \beta \rangle \\ &= \int \int d^3x d^3y \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}) \phi_\beta(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{A} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle \mathbf{y} | \beta \rangle = \phi_\beta(\mathbf{y})$  etc.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } \hat{F} &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \alpha | \hat{A} | \beta \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int \int d^3x d^3y \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}) \phi_\beta(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{A} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \phi_\beta(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{+i\mathbf{p}_\beta \cdot \mathbf{y}} \\ \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{-i\mathbf{p}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ \hat{F} &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int_x \int_y d^3x d^3y e^{-i\mathbf{p}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{x}} e^{+i\mathbf{p}_\beta \cdot \mathbf{y}} \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{A} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}_\alpha} e^{-i\mathbf{p}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{x}} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}_\beta} e^{-i\mathbf{p}_\beta \cdot \mathbf{y}} \hat{a}_\beta \\ \hat{F} &= \int_x \int_y d^3x d^3y \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{A} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

If  $\hat{A}$  is a single particle operator,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{A} | \mathbf{y} \rangle &= A(\mathbf{x}) \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle = A(\mathbf{x}) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \int_x \int_y d^3x d^3y Q A(\mathbf{x}) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{y}) \\ \hat{F} &= \int d^3x A(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int d^3x \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) A(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{as desired.}\end{aligned}$$

2. (a) Determine  $\{\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{c}_\mathbf{p}^\dagger\}$ .

(b) Consider the fermionic particles in states  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  generated by the operators,

$$\hat{c}_\mathbf{q}^\dagger \hat{c}_\mathbf{p}^\dagger | 0 \rangle = | \mathbf{p} \mathbf{q} \rangle.$$

Find the representation of  $| \mathbf{p} \mathbf{q} \rangle$  in the position basis. For this purpose, you will calculate  $\langle \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{p} \mathbf{q} \rangle$  while using the (anti) commutator result derived in part (a). [Hint:  $|x\rangle = \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) | 0 \rangle$ ].

**Answer 2**

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}\{\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{c}_\mathbf{p}^\dagger\} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} e^{+i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \hat{c}_\mathbf{q}, \hat{c}_\mathbf{p}^\dagger \right\} \\ \text{say } \frac{e^{+i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{\sqrt{V}} &= \phi_\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{q} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

The anticommutator is,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathbf{q}} \{\phi_\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{c}_\mathbf{q}, \hat{c}_\mathbf{p}^\dagger\} &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \phi_\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) \{\hat{c}_\mathbf{q}, \hat{c}_\mathbf{p}^\dagger\} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \phi_\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) \delta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} = \phi_\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{p} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

We can also do some additional ramblings as here.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \quad \{\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\} &= \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{p} \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x})\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} + \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) &= \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{p} \rangle \\ \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x})\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} &= -\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{p} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We have to determine  $\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \rangle$ , where  $|\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}\rangle = \hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ . Note that  $\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 | = \langle 0 | \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1)\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2)$ .

Let's first determine  $\langle 0 | \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1)\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2)\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$ . We like to take all the annihilation operators at the right side. From the previous part, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1)\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2)\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} &= \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1) \left[ -\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2) + \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \right] \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \\ &= -\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1)\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2)\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} + \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1)\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \\ &= -\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1)\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \left( -\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2) + \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \right) + \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \left( -\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \right) \\ &= \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1)\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2) - \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1)\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} - \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ &\quad + \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The third term acting on  $|0\rangle$  does not lead us anywhere, we don't need to consider it. So,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( -\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1) + \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \right) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2) - \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \left( -\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1) + \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \right) + \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \\ &= -\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1)\hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2) + \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2) + \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1) - \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{p} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The second and third terms need not be proceeded any further, because when they act on  $|0\rangle$  to the right, they disappear. Similarly

the first term above yields,

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \left( \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1) + \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \right) \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= \hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1) \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2) - \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_2). \end{aligned}$$

These terms don't matter as well as they annihilate vacuum. Hence

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{p} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{q} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \left( e^{+i(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1)} - e^{-i(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This has the correct antisymmetric form. The antisymmetrization for the fermions is built into the anti-commutation relation for the field operators.

3. (a) Consider the interaction between two particles described by

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = A\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where  $A$  is a constant. Find the second-quantization form of the interaction.

- (b) If the inter-particle interaction is described by the Yukawa potential

$$V(r) = \frac{Ae^{-\lambda r}}{r},$$

determine the second-quantization form of the interaction. Convince yourself that the coulomb interaction is the long range form of the Yukawa interaction, where  $A = \frac{q^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0}$ .

**Answer 3**

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{q}} &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int d^3x A \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\ &= A \\ \hat{V} &= \frac{A}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}_2+\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}_2} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}_1}\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}V(r) &= \frac{Ae^{-\lambda r}}{r} \\ \tilde{V}_{\mathbf{q}} &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int d^3x V(r) e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\ &= \frac{A}{\mathcal{V}} \int d^3x \frac{Ae^{-\lambda r}}{r} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Now } d^3x = r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dr$$

$$\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{x} = qr \cos \theta$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \tilde{V}_{\mathbf{q}} &= \frac{A}{\mathcal{V}} \int_r \int_\theta \int_\phi r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dr \frac{Ae^{-\lambda r}}{r} e^{-iqr \cos \theta} \\ &= \frac{A}{\mathcal{V}} 2\pi \int_{r=0}^{\infty} r dr \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} d\theta \sin \theta e^{-\lambda r} e^{-iqr \cos \theta} \\ &= \frac{A}{\mathcal{V}} 2\pi \int_{r=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda r} r dr \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} d\theta \sin \theta e^{-iqr \cos \theta} \\ &= \frac{A}{\mathcal{V}} 2\pi \int_{r=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda r} r dr \int_1^{-1} d(\cos \theta) e^{-iqr(\cos \theta)} \\ &= \frac{A}{\mathcal{V}} 2\pi \int_{r=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda r} r dr \left. \frac{e^{-iqr(\cos \theta)}}{-iqr} \right|_{\cos \theta=1}^{\cos \theta=-1} \\ &= \frac{A}{\mathcal{V}} 2\pi \int_{r=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda r} r dr \left( \frac{e^{+iqr} - e^{-iqr}}{-iq} \right) \\ &= \frac{4\pi A}{-\mathcal{V}q} \int_{r=0}^{\infty} \sin(qr) e^{-\lambda r} dr \\ &= \frac{-8\pi A\lambda}{\mathcal{V}(q^2 + \lambda^2)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

4. Consider two fermions  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ .

(a) Show that Bogoliubov transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{c}_1 &= u\hat{a}_1 + v\hat{a}_2^\dagger \\ \hat{c}_2^\dagger &= -v\hat{a}_1 + u\hat{a}_2^\dagger,\end{aligned}$$

where  $u$  and  $v$  are real, preserves the canonical anticommutation relations if  $u^2 + v^2 = 1$

(b) Use this result to show that the Hamiltonian

$$H = \varepsilon(\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger) + \Delta(\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger + \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2),$$

can be diagonalized in the form

$$H = \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2}(\hat{c}_1^\dagger \hat{c}_1 + \hat{c}_2^\dagger \hat{c}_2 - 1).$$

(c) What is the ground-state energy of this Hamiltonian?

(d) Write out the ground-state wavefunction in terms of the original operators  $\hat{c}_1^\dagger$  and  $\hat{c}_2^\dagger$  and their corresponding vacuum  $|0\rangle$ , *i.e.*,  $(\hat{c}_{1,2}|0\rangle = 0)$ .

#### Answer 4

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}\{\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_1^\dagger\} &= \{u\hat{a}_1 + v\hat{a}_2^\dagger, u\hat{a}_1^\dagger + v\hat{a}_2\} \\ &= u^2\{\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_1^\dagger\} + v^2\{\hat{a}_2^\dagger, \hat{a}_2\} \\ &= u^2 + v^2 = 1 \\ \{\hat{c}_2, \hat{c}_2^\dagger\} &= \{-v\hat{a}_1 + u\hat{a}_2^\dagger, -v\hat{a}_1^\dagger + u\hat{a}_2\} \\ &= v^2\{\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_1^\dagger\} + u^2\{\hat{a}_2^\dagger, \hat{a}_2\} \\ &= u^2 + v^2 = 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2^\dagger\} &= \{u\hat{a}_1 + v\hat{a}_2^\dagger, -v\hat{a}_1^\dagger + u\hat{a}_2\} \\
&= -uv\{\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_1^\dagger\} + uv\{\hat{a}_2^\dagger, \hat{a}_2\} \\
&= -uv(1) + uv(1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{c}_1 &= u\hat{a}_1 + v\hat{a}_2^\dagger \\
\hat{c}_2^\dagger &= -v\hat{a}_1 + u\hat{a}_2^\dagger \\
\begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_1 \\ \hat{c}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ -v & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_1 \\ \hat{a}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \\
\text{Let } M &= \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ -v & u \end{pmatrix} \\
M^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} u & -v \\ v & u \end{pmatrix} \\
\hat{a}_1 &= u\hat{c}_1 - v\hat{c}_2^\dagger \\
\hat{a}_2^\dagger &= v\hat{c}_1 + u\hat{c}_2^\dagger \\
\hat{a}_1^\dagger &= u\hat{c}_1^\dagger - v\hat{c}_2 \\
\hat{a}_2 &= v\hat{c}_1^\dagger + u\hat{c}_2 \\
\mathcal{H} &= \varepsilon(\hat{a}_1^\dagger\hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_2\hat{a}_2^\dagger) + \Delta(\hat{a}_1^\dagger\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2\hat{a}_2^\dagger) \\
&= \varepsilon\left((u\hat{c}_1^\dagger - v\hat{c}_2)(u\hat{c}_1 - v\hat{c}_2^\dagger) - (v\hat{c}_1^\dagger + u\hat{c}_2)(v\hat{c}_1 + u\hat{c}_2^\dagger)\right) \\
&\quad + \Delta\left((u\hat{c}_1^\dagger - v\hat{c}_2)(v\hat{c}_1 + u\hat{c}_2^\dagger) + (v\hat{c}_1^\dagger + u\hat{c}_2)(u\hat{c}_1 - v\hat{c}_2^\dagger)\right) \\
&= \varepsilon\left(u^2\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_1 - uv\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_2^\dagger - v\hat{c}_2\hat{c}_1 + v^2\hat{c}_2\hat{c}_2^\dagger - v^2\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_1 - uv\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_2^\dagger\right. \\
&\quad \left. - v\hat{c}_2\hat{c}_1 + v^2\hat{c}_2\hat{c}_2^\dagger\right) + \Delta\left(uv\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_1 + u^2\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_2^\dagger - v^2\hat{c}_2\hat{c}_1 + uv\hat{c}_2\hat{c}_2^\dagger\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + uv\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_1 - v^2\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_2^\dagger - u^2\hat{c}_2\hat{c}_1 - uv\hat{c}_2\hat{c}_2^\dagger) \\
& = \varepsilon \left( (u^2 - v^2)(\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_1 - \hat{c}_2\hat{c}_2^\dagger) - 2uv\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_2^\dagger - 2uv\hat{c}_2\hat{c}_1 \right) \\
& + \Delta \left( 2uv\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_1 - 2uv\hat{c}_2\hat{c}_2^\dagger + (u^2 - v^2)(\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_2^\dagger + \hat{c}_2\hat{c}_1) \right) \\
& = \left( \varepsilon(u^2 - v^2) + \Delta 2uv \right) (\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_1 - \hat{c}_2\hat{c}_2^\dagger) \\
& + \left( -\varepsilon 2uv + \Delta(u^2 - v^2) \right) (\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_2^\dagger + \hat{c}_2\hat{c}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $u = \cos \theta$

$$v = \sin \theta$$

which is legitimate since  $u^2 + v^2 = 1$ .

$$\Rightarrow u^2 - v^2 = \cos 2\theta \quad \text{and} \quad 2uv = \sin 2\theta.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon(u^2 - v^2) + \Delta 2uv &= \varepsilon \cos 2\theta + \Delta \sin 2\theta \\
&= P \cos(2\theta - \alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$P^2 = \varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = P \cos \alpha, \quad \Delta = P \sin \alpha.$$

Similarly

$$-\varepsilon 2uv + \Delta(u^2 - v^2) = P \sin(2\theta - \alpha).$$

Under these transformations:

$$\hat{H} = P \cos(2\theta - \alpha)(\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_1 - \hat{c}_2\hat{c}_2^\dagger) + P \sin(2\theta - \alpha)(\hat{c}_1^\dagger\hat{c}_2^\dagger + \hat{c}_2\hat{c}_1).$$



Under the particular case when  $2\theta = \alpha$  which corresponds to  $\varepsilon = u^2 - v^2$  and  $\Delta = 2uv$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} &= \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2}(\hat{c}_1^\dagger \hat{c}_1 - \hat{c}_2 \hat{c}_2^\dagger) \\ &= \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2}(\hat{c}_1^\dagger \hat{c}_2 + \hat{c}_2^\dagger \hat{c}_1 - 1) \text{ as desired.}\end{aligned}$$

(c) the ground state energy is  $-\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2}$ . This can be seen by writing  $\hat{H}$  in the basis spanned by the states,  $|0, 0\rangle, |0, 1\rangle, |1, 0\rangle, |1, 1\rangle$ , which are written in number-state representation, (e.g.  $|1, 0\rangle$  corresponds to one particle with momentum  $\mathbf{p}_1$  and no particle with momentum  $\mathbf{p}_2$ ).  $H$  is diagonal in this basis and is given by,

$$\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the states are labeled in the order  $\{|0, 0\rangle, |0, 1\rangle, |1, 0\rangle, |1, 1\rangle\}$ .

(d) Clearly the ground state is a superposition of  $|0, 0\rangle$  and  $|1, 1\rangle$ .

5. Defining the density matrix for a single particle as,

$$\hat{\rho}_1(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \langle \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle.$$

Express this matrix in the form of creation and annihilation operators.

**Answer 5**

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} e^{+i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \\ \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} e^{+i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ \langle \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{y}) \rangle &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} e^{-i(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{y})} \langle \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$