Implementation of HHL Algorithm for Solving a Linear Systems of Equations

Hassaan Ahmed (21060001)

7th April 2023

1 Abstract

Many natural systems of interest have non-linear dynamics, the dynamics are complex. Linearization reduces the complexity. To solve such linear systems, many classical methods exist. Harrow, Hassidim and Lloyd presented a quantum algorithm to solve such linear systems. In this project, HHL algorithm is used to solve a linear system of equations, the algorithm is simulated in python using qiskit library and then the program is run on a quantum computer. The results of quantum simulation and quantum computer are then compared.

2 Introduction

To study the evolution of systems with non-linear dynamics, the systems are linearized at their equilibrium points. Most data-processing techniques use linearized versions of systems, but as the data becomes large, solving these systems requires more computational resources. The fastest known classical method solves linear systems in polynomial time. Quantum Computing promises an exponential speed over the classical methods, but it suffers from limitations in actual hardware implementations[7].

An algorithm to solve a linear system of equations was presented by Harrow, Hassidim and Lloyd [5]. The general form of a linear system of equations is shown in (1). There are M equations with M unknown variables. A is a $M \times M$ matrix and is assumed to be Hermitian i.e. it is the conjugate transpose of itself (2). A and \vec{b} are known, while \vec{x} is the unknown vector whose solution we desire. Dimensions of \vec{x} and b are $M \times 1$. If A is not Hermitian then it can be converted into a Hermitian matrix A' as shown in (3), then the resulting system of equations is shown in (4,5,6)[5].

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b} \tag{1}$$

$$A = (A^*)^T \tag{2}$$

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A\\ A^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

$$\vec{x'} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \vec{x} \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

$$\vec{b'} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

$$A'\vec{x'} = \vec{b'} \tag{6}$$

To solve a linear system with M unknowns we need m qubits where

$$m = \log_2(M) \tag{7}$$

$$M = 2^m \tag{8}$$

To represent the vectors \vec{x} and \vec{b} as quantum states, we need to re-scale them into unit vectors. This is done by dividing the vectors with their norms as shown in (9,10) [1].

$$|b\rangle = \frac{\vec{b}}{||b||} \tag{9}$$

$$|x\rangle = \frac{A^{-1}\vec{b}}{||A^{-1}\vec{b}||}$$
(10)

2.1 Classical Methods for Solving Linear System of Equations

Gaussian Elimination and Conjugate Gradient Method are, traditionally, used to solve a linear system of equations.

In Gaussian Elimination, row reduction techniques applied on A are applied on \vec{b} as well. A is transformed into identity and the resultant \vec{b} vector, after the sequence of operations, is the solution vector \vec{x} [3]. The Complexity of this method is $O(M^3)$. There are forms, other than identity, that matrix A can be reduced to but is not elaborated further in the report.

In Conjugate Gradient Method, an initial guess is used as a starting point, and then the direction of the steepest descent is determined. It is much faster than the Gaussian Elimination with complexity of O(M) [7]. It is an iterative algorithm[2] that is applicable on sparse systems which are too large to be handled using direct methods.

2.2 Quantum Mechanical Concepts

2.2.1 Superposition

It is just a linear combination of 2 or more basis states as shown in (11). Where the coefficients c_o and c_1 are complex numbers. Typically, superposition can be created using a Hadamard Gate. It does not have a classical counterpart (unlike the Not gate). It creates equal superposition (equal probability) of the basis states.

$$\left|\psi\right\rangle = c_{o}\left|0\right\rangle + c_{1}\left|1\right\rangle \tag{11}$$

2.2.2 Entanglement

When 2 or more states cannot be represented as a tensor product of the individual qubits, the states are said to be entangled. A completely entangled state is shown in (12)

$$\left|\psi\right\rangle = c_0\left|00\right\rangle + c_1\left|11\right\rangle \tag{12}$$

2.2.3 Eigenvalue and vectors

We can decompose every non-zero square matrix into a product of its eigenvectors and a diagonal matrix containing all the eigenvalues, this procedure is also called Eigenvalue Decomposition shown in (13).

$$A = \vec{V}^{-1} \lambda \vec{V} \tag{13}$$

The eigenvalues are scalars and each eigenvalue has an eigenvector associated with it. If you pass an eigenvector of matrix A as an input to the matrix A then the output is a scaled version of the same eigenvector.

2.2.4 Controlled Operation

The controlled gate has a target qubit and a control qubit, the gate operates on the target qubit only when the control qubit is in the state $|1\rangle$, if the control qubit is in the state $|0\rangle$, then the target qubit passes through the gate as is.

2.3 Types of Encoding

2.3.1 Hamiltonian Encoding

The Hamiltonian represents the total energy of a system. It generates the time evolution of the quantum states. For a hermitian matrix A, which is encoded as the Hamiltonian of a unitary operator U, the operator U is defined as in (14). However, A does not have to be unitary in this definition.

$$U = e^{iAt} \tag{14}$$

This is just one type of Hamiltonian encoding, other forms also exist.

2.3.2 Amplitude Encoding

In (11) the amplitudes or coefficients of $|\psi\rangle$ basis vector $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are c_0 and c_1 , respectively. In amplitude encoding, this is represented as

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{15}$$

where, the square of the coefficients are the probability amplitudes of the respective states. Consequently, sum of square all the coefficients should be unity.

$$\sum_{i} c_i^2 = 1, \forall i \tag{16}$$

2.3.3 Basis Encoding

In Basis encoding, decimal numbers are converted to their binary representation and then the binary representation is assigned respective quantum basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. Example, for the decimal representation,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(17)

The binary representation is

 $\begin{bmatrix} 00\\11 \end{bmatrix}$ (18)

Then its basis state representation is $|0011\rangle$.

3 Mathematical Formulation

3.1 Preliminaries

To begin, there are 3 main divisions of the total qubits required to implement the HHL algorithm. The b-register consists of m qubits, in this the information regarding \vec{b} is encoded. The c-register consists of n qubits, it has information regarding the clock or timing of the controlled rotation part of the algorithm [7]. In addition to b-register and c-register, a single ancillary qubit is also a part of the algorithm. Total qubits required to implement HHL are m + n + 1. HHL, itself, consists of basically three operations:

- 1. Quantum Phase Estimation (QPE)
- 2. Ancillary Bit Rotation
- 3. Inverse Quantum Phase Estimation (IQPE)

QPE itself consists of 3 operations:

- 1. Superposition via Hadamard Gates
- 2. Unitary Rotation
- 3. Quantum Fourier Transform (QFT)

A schematic of HHL algorithm is illustrated in Figure 1. QPE is carried out on the b-register and c-register, Hadamard gates create superposition of the c-register which then acts as control inputs for the unitary rotations applied to the b-register. Inverse Quantum Fourier Transform (IQFT) is applied to the c-register. After IQFT, The ancillary qubit is then rotated and measured, resulting in discarding of the ancillary qubit. Then the process of QPE is applied in reverse and we obtain a solution of the \vec{x} .

To represent the qubits, little-endian convention is used, in this the rightmost (ending) qubit represents the least significant bit (LSB). Ancillary qubit is the LSB. This convention is used in giskit as well.



Figure 1: Schematic of HHL Algorithm [7]

The Hamiltonian matrix A can be written as an weighted outer product of its basis vectors. The weights would be the eigenvalues of A and the basis vectors would be the eigenvectors of A.

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \lambda_i \left| u_i \right\rangle \left\langle u_i \right| \tag{19}$$

Similarly, \vec{b} can also be represented as a weighted sum of the eigenvectors of A.

$$|b\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} b_j |u_j\rangle \tag{20}$$

From Eigenvalue decomposition in Section 2.2.3, taking the inverse of A would result in

$$A^{-1} = (\vec{V}^{-1}\lambda\vec{V})^{-1} = \vec{V}^{-1}\lambda^{-1}(\vec{V}^{-1})^{-1} = \vec{V}^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\vec{V}$$
(21)

We can now simple write A^{-1} as

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \lambda_i^{-1} \left| u_i \right\rangle \left\langle u_i \right| \tag{22}$$

Therefore \vec{x} can be written as

$$|x\rangle = A^{-1} |b\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \lambda_i^{-1} |u_i\rangle \langle u_i| \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} b_j |u_j\rangle$$
(23)

We know that $\langle u_i | u_j \rangle = 1$ only when i = j. Hence,

$$|x\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \lambda_i^{-1} b_i |u_i\rangle \tag{24}$$

This is the result that will be stored in the b-register but it will be encoded in the basis of $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. Here we do assume that the weights are normalized, for appropriate representation as unit vectors. Since, the square of the weights give us their respective probability amplitudes (sum of total probability can't be greater than 1), the squared sum of weights should be equal to 1.

All qubits are initialized at state $|0\rangle$. The b-register has m qubits, c-register has n qubits and there is one ancillary qubit which is the LSB, the respective subscripts are also shown in the initial state.

$$\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle_b^{\otimes m} |0\rangle_c^{\otimes n} |0\rangle_a \tag{25}$$

Before Quantum Phase Estimation, the values of the \vec{b} are stored in the bregister, but these are not just the coefficients of the \vec{b} , but rather the probability amplitudes of the coefficients of \vec{b} [4][5].

$$|\psi_1\rangle = |b\rangle_b \left|0\rangle_c^{\otimes n} \left|0\right\rangle_a \tag{26}$$

3.2 Quantum Phase Estimation

QPE is an eigenvalue phase estimation routine. The unitary operator (14) is part of a controlled gate in the QPE routine. The phase of the eigenvalue of Uis proportional to the eigenvalue of the matrix A, this is because the eigenvalues of U are roots of unity. Hence, after OPE the eigenvalues of A are expected to be stored in the c-register [7].

Hadamard Gates are applied on the qubits of the c-register (clock qubits) which would serve as the control qubits in the next operation. This results in a superposition of the clock qubits.

$$\left|\psi_{2}\right\rangle = \left|b\right\rangle_{b} \left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}}(\left|0\right\rangle + \left|1\right\rangle)\right)_{c}^{\otimes n} \left|0\right\rangle_{a} \tag{27}$$

The number of qubits in the c-register, n, determine the number of times the controlled gate is applied on the b-register. If there are n qubits, these qubits can be represented as $|c_{n-1}c_{n-2}..c_1c_0\rangle$. If the qubit c_0 is in the state $|1\rangle$ then the U is applied onto the b-register 2^0 times, if the qubit c_{n-1} is in the state $|1\rangle$ then the operator U is applied onto the b-register 2^{n-1} times. Assume that U has an eigenvalue $e^{2\pi i \theta}$ and its associated eigenvector $|b\rangle$, then

$$U\left|b\right\rangle = e^{2\pi i\theta}\left|b\right\rangle \tag{28}$$

This results in the phase θ being encoded as the basis state in the c-register. Because the operation is only carried out when the clock qubit is $|1\rangle$ and that the operation can be represented as a multiplication factor of $e^{2\pi i \theta 2^j}$ with $|1\rangle$ of $|c_j\rangle$ [7]. Then the states of the c-register becomes

$$(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i\theta 2^{n-1}} |1\rangle) \otimes (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i\theta 2^{n-2}} |1\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i\theta 2^{0}} |1\rangle)$$
(29)

where the last term is the LSB of the c-register. This (29) can be represented as a summation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i\theta k} \left| k \right\rangle \tag{30}$$

The State after the Unitary rotation now has the following expression

$$\left|\psi_{3}\right\rangle = \left|b\right\rangle_{b} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i\theta k} \left|k\right\rangle\right)_{c} \left|0\right\rangle_{a} \tag{31}$$

where $N = 2^n$.

The IQFT (U_Q^{\dagger}) is applied to the c-register only. Note that QFT and IQFT are just rotations that result in a change of basis.

$$U_Q^{\dagger} \left| k \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{-2\pi i y k}{N}} \left| y \right\rangle \tag{32}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i\theta k} U_Q^{\dagger} |k\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-2\pi i k(\theta - \frac{y}{N})} |y\rangle$$
(33)

LHS of (33) will be 1 only when $y = N\theta$ otherwise it will be 0. We can now rewrite the LHS as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^0 \left| N\theta \right\rangle \tag{34}$$

Therefore the state now becomes

$$\left|\psi_{4}\right\rangle = \left|b\right\rangle_{b}\left|N\theta\right\rangle_{c}\left|0\right\rangle_{a} \tag{35}$$

Because the eigenvectors of U and A are related by (14), U is also diagonal in A's eigenvector, $|u_i\rangle$ basis. So, if $|b\rangle = |u_j\rangle$, then

$$U\left|b\right\rangle = e^{i\lambda_{j}t}\left|u_{j}\right\rangle \tag{36}$$

By Comparing (28) and (36), we conclude that

$$\theta = \frac{\lambda_j t}{2\pi} \tag{37}$$

We define a scaled version of the eigenvalue λ_j as (38) and using (20) we can rewrite $|\psi_4\rangle$. Note that λ is not usually an integer, so the value of t is chosen such that λ' is an integer [7].

$$\lambda_j' = \frac{N\lambda_j t}{2\pi} \tag{38}$$

$$\left|\psi_{4}\right\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} b_{j} \left|u_{j}\right\rangle \left|\lambda_{j}'\right\rangle \left|0\right\rangle_{a} \tag{39}$$

This concludes the QPE routine of HHL algorithm.

3.3 Ancillary Qubit Rotation

To extract probability amplitudes, a theoretical controlled rotation [1][6] of the ancillary qubit is implemented.

$$\left|\psi_{5}\right\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} b_{j} \left|u_{j}\right\rangle \left|\lambda_{j}'\right\rangle \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{C^{2}}{\lambda_{j}'^{2}}} \left|0\right\rangle_{a} + \frac{C}{\lambda_{j}'} \left|1\right\rangle_{a}\right) \tag{40}$$

Where C is a constant. When the ancillary qubit is measured, the measurement would be either $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$. The required measurement is $|1\rangle$ and all the other results will be ignored until $|1\rangle$ is measured.

$$\left|\psi_{6}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left|\frac{b_{j}C}{\lambda_{j}'}\right|^{2}}} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} b_{j} \left|u_{j}\right\rangle \left|\lambda_{j}'\right\rangle \frac{C}{\lambda_{j}'} \left|1\right\rangle_{a} \tag{41}$$

Here, it is clear that C should be as large as possible because it determines the probability of obtaining $|1\rangle$. We can measure the ancillary qubit before or after the IQPE as it serves no further purpose.

3.4 Inverse Quantum Phase Estimation

After the measurement of the ancillary qubit, the b-register and the c-register are in an entangled state. We need IQPE to de-entangle these 2 registers. The solution, so far, is encoded as the amplitudes of eigenvector basis vectors $|u_j\rangle$, if we use this as the measurement basis then the solution will be correct. But we don't have a way to measure in the eigenvector basis. So, only after de-entangling can we measure the b-register in $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ basis.

QFT is applied on the c-register

$$U_Q \left| \lambda'_j \right\rangle = \frac{1}{sqrtN} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i y \lambda'_j}{N}} \left| y \right\rangle \tag{42}$$

The state after QFT is

$$|\psi_{7}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} |\frac{b_{j}C}{\lambda_{j}'}|^{2}}} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} b_{j} |u_{j}\rangle \frac{C}{\lambda_{j}'} (\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i y \lambda_{j}'}{N}} |y\rangle) |1\rangle_{a}$$
(43)

The Inverse of the controlled unitary Rotations is applied, the process is the same except that the QPE Unitary Rotation of (14) is now U^{-1} as shown in (44)

$$U^{-1} = e^{-iAt} (44)$$

Using similar arguments made in QPE and taking into consideration the bregister only, for simplicity we obtain (45)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\lambda_j t y} e^{\frac{2\pi i y \lambda'_j}{N}} |y\rangle \tag{45}$$

We know, $\lambda_j t = 2\pi\theta$. Therefore, the two exponential term cancel each other out and the b-register becomes

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{y=0}^{N-1}|y\rangle\tag{46}$$

The complete state at this point is

$$|\psi_{8}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} |\frac{b_{j}C}{\lambda_{j}'}|^{2}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \frac{b_{j}C |u_{j}\rangle}{\lambda_{j}'} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} |y\rangle |1\rangle_{a}$$
(47)

Substituting the result from (24), we get

$$|\psi_8\rangle = \frac{C}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} |\frac{b_j C}{\lambda'_j}|^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} |x\rangle_b \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} |y\rangle |1\rangle_a \tag{48}$$

It is clear that, b-register and c-register are no longer entangled. $|x\rangle$ is now stored in the b-register.

We complete the IQPE by applying Hadamard Gates on the c-register. Using the result in (49), to simplify (48) we get,

$$(U_H |0\rangle)^{\otimes n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} |y\rangle$$
(49)

$$|\psi_9\rangle = \frac{C}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} |\frac{b_j C}{\lambda'_j}|^2}} |x\rangle_b |0\rangle_c^{\otimes n} |1\rangle_a \tag{50}$$

From, the result of (51), it can be deduced the constant term should be equal to 1, because $|x\rangle$, $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are unit vectors. Hence, the final result is

$$|\psi_9\rangle = |x\rangle_b \left|0\rangle_c^{\otimes n} \left|1\right\rangle_a \tag{51}$$

References

- [1] Stefanie Barz, Ivan Kassal, Martin Ringbauer, Yannick Ole Lipp, Borivoje Dakic, Alán Aspuru-Guzik, and Philip Walther. Solving systems of linear equations on a quantum computer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1302.1210*, 2013.
- [2] Rati Chandra. Conjugate gradient methods for partial differential equations. Yale University, 1978.
- [3] Joseph F. Grcar. How ordinary elimination became gaussian elimination. Historia Mathematica, 38(2):163–218, 2011.
- [4] Lov Grover and Terry Rudolph. Creating superpositions that correspond to efficiently integrable probability distributions. arXiv preprint quantph/0208112, 2002.
- [5] Aram W Harrow, Avinatan Hassidim, and Seth Lloyd. Quantum algorithm for linear systems of equations. *Physical review letters*, 103(15):150502, 2009.
- [6] Xiaonan Liu, Lina Jing, Lin Han, and Jie Gao. Hhl analysis and simulation verification based on origin quantum platform. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2113(1):012083, nov 2021.
- [7] Hector Jose Morrell Jr, Anika Zaman, and Hiu Yung Wong. Step-by-step hhl algorithm walkthrough to enhance the understanding of critical quantum computing concepts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.09004*, 2021.